

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ML

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov,

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,487	03/26/2004	Darwyn Peachey	021751-001400US	8141
68218 TOWNSEND	7590 03/23/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	YANG, ANDREW GUS		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/810,487	PEACHEY, DARWYN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew Yang	2628		

	Andrew rang	2020					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in see with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince in the final Office in the final Offic	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / information					
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:]				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Marsgin					
		MARK ZIMME	RWAN				
		SUPERVISORY PATEI TECHNOLOGY CE	nt examiner Nter 2600				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments of claims 1-2, 8, and 14 change the scope of the claims by including the limitation of querying a database external to the computer system.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Gossweiler teaches away from the concept of querying a database to retrieve different LOD models for an object as needed; however, applicant has not pointed out why the motivation in the previous rejection is insufficient. Applicant argues that Gossweiler teaches away from storing only a single representation of an object, but this is not found in the claim limitations. Further arguments are directed towards amended claims which have not been entered, see 3 above.